IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE SECOND DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 4664 of 1996

Between:

M/s. Narasinga Bhagawan, Weaker Sections Welfare

Association, rep. by its President, C. Venkata Ramulu,
R/o.8-2-682/32, Narasinga Bhagwan Nagar,
Road No.12, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Secretary

for Finance Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 The Commissioner & Inspector General of Registration

and Stamp duty of A.P., Hyderabad.
3 Dist. Registrar, (Registration & Stamps), Hyderabad.
4 The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad,
Tank Bund Road, Hyderabad.
5 M/s. Sajjana Co-op. Housing Society, rep. by its President,

Office at 49, Aravind Nagar, Domalaguda, Hyderabad.
(Petition against Respondent No.5 is dismissed for default

as per Court order dated 13-02-2003).

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to grant an order or a direction or a Writ more particularly in the nature of a Writ of Mandamus directing respondents 1 to 3 herein to take necessary steps for collection of the deficit stamp duty due from the 5th respondent society as has been directed by the order of the 2nd respondent in Memo No.84/32135/94, dt: 29-5-1995.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR. Y.VISWESWARA RAO

Counsel for Respondents 1 to 4: GOVERNMENT PLEADER FOR REVENUE

Counsel for Respondent No.5: None appeared

The Court made the following:

-

-

-

-

ORDER:

-

This writ petition is filed seeking a Writ of Mandamus to direct respondents 1 to 3 to take necessary steps for collection of stamp duty from the 5th respondent-M/s. Sajjana Cooperative Housing Society as per the order dated 29-05-1995 passed by the 2nd respondent in Memo No.84/32135/94.

So far as the 5th respondent is concerned, the writ petition is dismissed for default vide order dated 13-02-2003 and no steps have been taken against such order. The relief sought for in the writ petition cannot be considered in view of the dismissal of the writ petition against the 5th respondent.

In that view of the matter, this writ petition is dismissed.

_____

R.SUBHASH REDDY, J

02nd February, 2005.

Ak

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Secretary to Government of Andhra Pradesh, Finance

Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

2. The Commissioner and Inspector General of Registration and

Stamp Duty of A.P., Hyderabad.

3. The District Registrar (Registration and Stamps), Hyderabad.

4. The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad,

Tank Bund Road, Hyderabad.

5. Two CCs to the Government Pleader for Revenue,

High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (O.U.T).

6. Two CD copies.